	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	09/429,295	BROWN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thuan D. Dang	1764
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Thuan D. Dang</u> .	(3) Diane Kilpatick-Lee.	
(2) <u>Louis Monero</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 December 2003.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Norris (5,157,201) and Mazurek et al (4,788,376).		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \boxtimes was not reached. h) \square N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: applicants are informed that a restriction letter will be sent by the Office. Applicants maintain that Norris teaches sulfur must be removed. However, the examiner does not agree since as interpreted, the removal of the sulfur as taught by Norris is the matter of choice.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no dallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments that v	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse states.	e last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THI OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	been filed, APPLICANT IS SINTERVIEW SUMMARY
	4	
	6-6-	~ ·
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	- 'at '	
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature, if required